

## A REMARK ON THE REGULARITY OF SOLUTIONS TO THE NAVIER–STOKES EQUATIONS\*

Miao Changxing

(Department of Mechanics, Ninbo University, Ninbo, 315020, China

Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics,

P. O. Box 8009, Beijing 100088, China

E-mail : miao\_changxing@mail.iapcm.ac.cn)

Dedicated to the 80th birthday of Professor Zhou Yulin

(Received July 10, 2002)

**Abstract** In this note we shall give a simple proof of a result in [1] which gives a sufficient condition for the regularity of solutions to the Navier–Stokes equation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  based on estimates on the vorticity.

**Key Words** Regularity, Cauchy problem, Navier–Stokes equation, admissible triplet, time–space estimates.

**2000 MR Subject Classification** 35Q30.

**Chinese Library Classification** O175.24.

### 1. Introduction and the Main Results

In this note we are concerned with the following Cauchy problem in  $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$

$$\partial_t v - \Delta v + (v \cdot \nabla)v + \nabla P = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\operatorname{div} v = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

$$v(0) = v_0(x), \quad (1.3)$$

where  $v(t) = v(t, x) = (v_1(t, x), v_2(t, x), \dots, v_n(t, x))$ , is the velocity field,  $P$  is the pressure.

**Definition 1.1** A vector field  $v \in L^\infty((0, T); L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^2((0, T); \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$  is called the Leray–Hopf weak solution if

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [v \cdot \varphi_t + (v \cdot \nabla)\varphi \cdot v + v \cdot \Delta \varphi] dx dt = 0, \\ \text{for } \forall \varphi \in [\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T))]^n, \quad \text{with } \operatorname{div} \varphi = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

---

\* The author is supported by the Royal Society Royal Fellowships, NSF and Special Funds for Major State Basic Research Projects of China.

and

$$\operatorname{div} v = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

in the distributional sense.

For  $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$ , the global existence of weak solution was established by Leray and Hopf in [2] and [3]. It is still unknown whether the Leray–Hopf weak solution to the Navier–Stokes equations is unique. As for the strong solution or  $L^q(I; L^p)$ –solutions, it is well known that for  $v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $n \leq 4$ ) with  $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$  or  $v_0 \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $r \geq n$ ) with  $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$  in distributional sense, then there exists a local unique strong solution  $v \in \mathcal{C}([0, T); H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$  ( $n \leq 4$ ) or  $L^q(I; L^p)$ –solution for any space dimensions, where the maximal time existence  $T_*$  depends on the initial data  $\|v_0 : H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\|$  ( $n \leq 4$ ) or  $\|v_0\|_r$  in the subcritical case  $r > n$  and depends on  $v_0$  itself in the critical case  $r = n$ , for details see [4–13] and [14]. As an immediate consequence of regularity of analytic semigroup which is generated by the Stokes operator, one easily sees that the strong solution ( $n \leq 4$ ) and the  $L^q(I; L^p)$ –solution belong to the  $\mathcal{C}((0, T); \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$ , see [9] and [13]. The global in time existence of strong solution or  $L^q(I; L^p)$ –solution is an outstanding open problem. Many authors have deduced the sufficient conditions under which the Leray–Hopf weak solution agrees with the smooth solution. In this direction, there is a classical result due to Serrin [12], which states that if a Leray–Hopf weak solution belongs to  $L^q(I; L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$ ,  $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} < 1$  and  $q < \infty$ , then  $v$  becomes the smooth solution. Later, Fabes, Jone and Riviere in [4] extend the above criterion to the case  $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} = 1$ . The case  $q = \infty$ ,  $p = 3$  in Serrin’s conditions, regularity and uniqueness of the solution to the Navier–Stokes equations was established in [13]. For general space dimension case ( $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{n}{p} \leq 1$ ) has been studied by many authors, see [8,9] and [13] and references therein.

Recently, Beirão da Veiga [1] obtained a sufficient condition for regularity using the vorticity  $w = \operatorname{curl} v$ , rather than the velocity  $v$ , his results can be stated as follows:

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with  $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$  and  $w_0 = \operatorname{curl} v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . If the Leray–Hopf weak solution  $v$  satisfies  $w = \operatorname{curl} v \in L^q(I; L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$  with  $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 2$ ,  $1 < q < \infty$ , then  $v$  becomes the classical solution on  $I = (0, T)$ .*

In [15] Dongho Chae & Hi–Jun Choe extended the results of [1] as:

**Theorem 1.2** *Let  $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$  with  $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$  and  $\omega_0 = \operatorname{curl} v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Let  $v$  be the Leray–Hopf weak solution to (1.1),  $w = \operatorname{curl} v$ . Assume that  $\tilde{\omega} \in L^q(I; L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$  with  $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 2$ ,  $1 < q < \infty$ , where*

$$\tilde{\omega} = \omega_1 e_1 + \omega_2 e_2, \quad e_1 = (1, 0, 0), \quad e_2 = (0, 1, 0). \quad (1.6)$$

Then  $v$  becomes the classical solution on  $I = (0, T)$ .

In (1.6)  $\omega_1 e_1$  or  $\omega_2 e_2$  can be replaced by  $\omega_3 e_3$ , which means that the regularity of the solution of (1.1) depends on two components of the vorticity field.

In this note, we shall give a simple proof of Theorem 1.1 and its generalization in higher dimensions.