

A REMARK ON THE REGULARITY OF SOLUTIONS TO THE NAVIER–STOKES EQUATIONS*

Miao Changxing

(Department of Mechanics, Ninbo University, Ninbo, 315020, China

Institute of Applied Physics and Computational Mathematics,

P. O. Box 8009, Beijing 100088, China

E-mail : miao_changxing@mail.iapcm.ac.cn)

Dedicated to the 80th birthday of Professor Zhou Yulin

(Received July 10, 2002)

Abstract In this note we shall give a simple proof of a result in [1] which gives a sufficient condition for the regularity of solutions to the Navier–Stokes equation in \mathbb{R}^n based on estimates on the vorticity.

Key Words Regularity, Cauchy problem, Navier–Stokes equation, admissible triplet, time–space estimates.

2000 MR Subject Classification 35Q30.

Chinese Library Classification O175.24.

1. Introduction and the Main Results

In this note we are concerned with the following Cauchy problem in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T)$

$$\partial_t v - \Delta v + (v \cdot \nabla)v + \nabla P = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\operatorname{div} v = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

$$v(0) = v_0(x), \quad (1.3)$$

where $v(t) = v(t, x) = (v_1(t, x), v_2(t, x), \dots, v_n(t, x))$, is the velocity field, P is the pressure.

Definition 1.1 A vector field $v \in L^\infty((0, T); L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)) \cap L^2((0, T); \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$ is called the Leray–Hopf weak solution if

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [v \cdot \varphi_t + (v \cdot \nabla)\varphi \cdot v + v \cdot \Delta \varphi] dx dt = 0, \\ \text{for } \forall \varphi \in [\mathcal{C}_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T))]^n, \quad \text{with } \operatorname{div} \varphi = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

* The author is supported by the Royal Society Royal Fellowships, NSF and Special Funds for Major State Basic Research Projects of China.

and

$$\operatorname{div} v = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

in the distributional sense.

For $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$, the global existence of weak solution was established by Leray and Hopf in [2] and [3]. It is still unknown whether the Leray–Hopf weak solution to the Navier–Stokes equations is unique. As for the strong solution or $L^q(I; L^p)$ –solutions, it is well known that for $v_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($n \leq 4$) with $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$ or $v_0 \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($r \geq n$) with $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$ in distributional sense, then there exists a local unique strong solution $v \in \mathcal{C}([0, T]; H^1(\mathbb{R}^n))$ ($n \leq 4$) or $L^q(I; L^p)$ –solution for any space dimensions, where the maximal time existence T_* depends on the initial data $\|v_0 : H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\|$ ($n \leq 4$) or $\|v_0\|_r$ in the subcritical case $r > n$ and depends on v_0 itself in the critical case $r = n$, for details see [4–13] and [14]. As an immediate consequence of regularity of analytic semigroup which is generated by the Stokes operator, one easily sees that the strong solution ($n \leq 4$) and the $L^q(I; L^p)$ –solution belong to the $\mathcal{C}((0, T); \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$, see [9] and [13]. The global in time existence of strong solution or $L^q(I; L^p)$ –solution is an outstanding open problem. Many authors have deduced the sufficient conditions under which the Leray–Hopf weak solution agrees with the smooth solution. In this direction, there is a classical result due to Serrin [12], which states that if a Leray–Hopf weak solution belongs to $L^q(I; L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$, $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} < 1$ and $q < \infty$, then v becomes the smooth solution. Later, Fabes, Jone and Riviere in [4] extend the above criterion to the case $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} = 1$. The case $q = \infty$, $p = 3$ in Serrin’s conditions, regularity and uniqueness of the solution to the Navier–Stokes equations was established in [13]. For general space dimension case ($\frac{2}{q} + \frac{n}{p} \leq 1$) has been studied by many authors, see [8,9] and [13] and references therein.

Recently, Beirão da Veiga [1] obtained a sufficient condition for regularity using the vorticity $w = \operatorname{curl} v$, rather than the velocity v , his results can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1 *Let $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$ and $w_0 = \operatorname{curl} v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. If the Leray–Hopf weak solution v satisfies $w = \operatorname{curl} v \in L^q(I; L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 2$, $1 < q < \infty$, then v becomes the classical solution on $I = (0, T)$.*

In [15] Dongho Chae & Hi–Jun Choe extended the results of [1] as:

Theorem 1.2 *Let $v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ with $\operatorname{div} v_0 = 0$ and $\omega_0 = \operatorname{curl} v_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Let v be the Leray–Hopf weak solution to (1.1), $w = \operatorname{curl} v$. Assume that $\tilde{\omega} \in L^q(I; L^p(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with $\frac{2}{q} + \frac{3}{p} \leq 2$, $1 < q < \infty$, where*

$$\tilde{\omega} = \omega_1 e_1 + \omega_2 e_2, \quad e_1 = (1, 0, 0), \quad e_2 = (0, 1, 0). \quad (1.6)$$

Then v becomes the classical solution on $I = (0, T)$.

In (1.6) $\omega_1 e_1$ or $\omega_2 e_2$ can be replaced by $\omega_3 e_3$, which means that the regularity of the solution of (1.1) depends on two components of the vorticity field.

In this note, we shall give a simple proof of Theorem 1.1 and its generalization in higher dimensions.