TRAPEZOIDAL PLATE BENDING ELEMENT WITH DOUBLE SET PARAMETERS [*1)]

Shao-chun Chen   Dong-yang Shi
(*Department of Mathematics, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou 450052, China*)

Ichiro Hagiwara
(*Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Ohokayama, Megro, Tokyo, 152-8552, Japan*)

Abstract

Using double set parameter method, a 12-parameter trapezoidal plate bending element is presented. The first set of degrees of freedom, which make the element convergent, are the values at the four vertices and the middle points of the four sides together with the mean values of the outer normal derivatives along four sides. The second set of degree of freedom, which make the number of unknowns in the resulting discrete system small and computation convenient are values and the first derivatives at the four vertices of the element. The convergence of the element is proved.

Key words: Plate bending problem, Nonconforming finite element, Double set parameter method.

1. Introduction

The plate bending problem is an fourth order elliptic problem. The conforming finite element space for this kind of problems should has C1 continuity. It is difficult to satisfy this condition, so nonconforming finite element methods for plate problem are developed. For the analysis of the nonconforming finite element, Irons et al proposed Patch-Test[1]. In the terms of mathematics, Stummel proposed GPT (Generalized Patch -Test)[2], it is a necessary and sufficient condition for the convergent of nonconforming finite element. Based on GPT Shi Zhongci proposed F-E-M-Test[3], and it is easy to be applied, because it checks only the local properties of the shape functions along each interface or on each element. Choosing simple nodal parameters such as values and some derivatives at the vertices of the element usually can not pass GPT. To pass GPT , node parameters should be chosen some complicated forms that makes the computation very expensive. In order to overcome this difficulty, we presented double set parameter method[4], DSP for short. The essential point is to separate the two requirements by taking two sets of nodal parameters. DSP method has some advantages: two sets of node parameters can be chosen independently, one set is chosen simple such that the total unknowns of the discrete system is small, while another set is chosen to pass GPT or F-E-M-Test. DSP method can also better solve the matching problem between the shape functions and nodal parameters. Moreover, DPS method can be put into the general framework of finite element package.

Many papers have studied triangular and rectangular plate elements, such as Morly's element[5], Zienkiewicz's element [6], Vebeuke's elements[7], Bergan's elements[8], Adini's element[7], Quasi-conforming elements[9] Generalized conforming elements[10], Specht's element[11], Double set parameter elements[4,11] and so on. But seldom papers study arbitrary quadrilateral plate element. In this case it is difficult to pass GPT because the transformation from the reference element to a general element is nonlinear.

In this paper, we construct a trapezoidal plate element with double set parameter method, which can be applied to polygonal domain, because a triangle can be divided into three trape-zoids. The convergence of this element is proved.

2. Double Set Parameter Method

For element K , let the initial shape function space be

$$\overline{P}(K) = Span\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, ..., \varphi_m\} \tag{2.1}$$

where $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, ..., \varphi_m$ are linearly independent polynomials, and the degrees of freedom are

$$D(v) = (d_1(v), d_2(v), ..., d_m(v))^T \tag{2.2}$$

with $d_1(v), d_2(v), ..., d_m(v)$ the linear functionals on $H^k(K), k \geq 1$.

$\forall v \in \overline{P}(K), v$ can be represented as

$$v = \beta_1 \varphi_1 + \beta_2 \varphi_2 + \cdots + \beta_m \varphi_m \tag{2.3}$$

Substituting this formula in the functional d_i, we get a relation between β_i, and

$$Cb = D(v) \tag{2.4}$$

where $b = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_m)^T, C = (d_i(\varphi_j))_{m \times m}$ is a matrix . To determine b by $D(v)$, we assume the well posed condition

$$\det(C) \neq 0 \tag{2.5}$$

holds.

Being the unknowns of the discrete system, $D(v)$ should be chosen simple, and on the other hand , they must make the shape functions have certain continuity across interelement bound-aries to ensure the convergence. Those two goals are not easy to be satisfied simultaneously.

To meet these two requirements, DSP method takes another set of nodal parameters:

$$Q(v) = (q_1(v), q_2(v), \cdots, q_l(v))^T \tag{2.6}$$

Then one can use linear combinations of nodal parameter (2.6) to discretize the degrees of freedom (2.3), which yields

$$D(v) = GQ(v) + \varepsilon(v) \tag{2.7}$$

where $\varepsilon(v)$ is the remainder term of discretization, G is the discretizing matrix. Neglecting $\varepsilon(v)$ in (2.7), we define real shape function space on K as follows

$$P(K) = \left\{ p \in \overline{P}(k) | p = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \beta_i \varphi_i, b = C^{-1}GQ, \forall Q \in R^l \right\} \tag{2.8}$$

$P(K)$ is a subspace of $\overline{P}(k)$ with the dimension $\leq l$, and the final set of unknowns is $Q(v)$. The convergence of DSP elements is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 1[4]. *Let the DSP element satisfies:*
1) the well posed condition (2.5)holds;
2) $| \cdot |_{2,h} = (\sum_K | \cdot |_{2,K}^2)^{1/2}$ is a norm on finite element space V_h;
3) polynomial space $P_2(K) \subset P(K)$ and $\varepsilon(v)$ in (2.7) vanishes for all $v \in P_2(K)$;
4) the degrees of freedom $D(v)$ with discretization (2.7) pass the F-E-M-Test.
Then the DSP element is convergent for plate problems.

3. The Plate bending Problem

Consider the plate bending problem with clamped boundary conditions, that is , to find $u \in H_0^2(\Omega)$ such that

$$a(u, v) = f(v) \quad \forall v \in H_0^2(\Omega) \tag{3.1}$$