

## THE $L^2$ – NORM ERROR ESTIMATE OF NONCONFORMING FINITE ELEMENT METHOD FOR THE 2ND ORDER ELLIPTIC PROBLEM WITH THE LOWEST REGULARITY <sup>\*1)</sup>

Lie-heng Wang

(LSEC, Institute of Computational Mathematics and Scientific/Engineering Computing,  
Academy of Mathematics and System Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences,  
Beijing, 100080, China)

### Abstract

The abstract  $L^2$ -norm error estimate of nonconforming finite element method is established. The uniformly  $L^2$ -norm error estimate is obtained for the nonconforming finite element method for the second order elliptic problem with the lowest regularity, i.e., in the case that the solution  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  only. It is also shown that the  $L^2$ -norm error bound we obtained is one order higher than the energy-norm error bound.

*Key words:*  $L^2$ -norm error estimate, nonconforming f.e.m., lowest regularity

### 1. Introduction

This paper is concerned with the uniformly  $L^2$ – norm error estimate of the nonconforming finite method for the second order elliptic problem with the lowest regularity, i.e., in the case that the solution  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  only, but not in  $H^2(\Omega)$ .

For the conforming finite element method of the second order elliptic problem, it is well known that using the Aubin-Nitsche lemma obtained the  $L^2$ – norm error bound, which is one order of  $h$ , the parameter of triangulation, higher than the  $H^1$ – norm error bound, in the case that the solution  $u$  of the primale problem is smooth enough, i.e.,  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$  (c.f.[1]). And recently, Schatz and Wang [2] considered the uniformly  $L^2$ – norm error bound for the conforming finite element method of second order elliptic problem in the case that the solution  $u$  is not smooth enough, i.e.,  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  only, but not in  $H^2(\Omega)$ .

In order to consider the  $L^2$ – norm error estimate for the nonconforming finite element method, we need the Aubin-Nitsche lemma for the nonconforming finite element method, which has been considered in [4], and for which we now give a clear expression and a simple proof.

---

\* Received December 20, 1996.

<sup>1)</sup> The project was supported by Natural Science Foundation of China.

Firstly, let us state the Aubin-Nitsche lemma for the conforming finite element method.

Consider the variational elliptic problem as follows

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \text{ such that} \\ a(u, v) = (f, v) \quad \forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$a(u, v) \equiv \int_{\Omega} a_{ij}(x) \partial_i u \partial_j v dx, \quad (1.2)$$

$$(f, v) \equiv \int_{\Omega} f \cdot v dx \quad (1.3)$$

and  $a_{ij}(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ ,  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ ,

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \geq \alpha \sum_{i=1}^2 \xi_i^2 \quad \forall x \in \Omega, \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (1.4)$$

Then the conforming finite element approximation of (1.1) is as follows, let  $\tilde{V}_h \subset H_0^1(\Omega)$  be the finite element subspace of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } u_h \in \tilde{V}_h, \quad \text{such that} \\ a(u_h, v_h) = (f, v_h) \quad \forall v_h \in \tilde{V}_h. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Then it is well known that

**Theorem 1.** (Aubin-Nitsche Lemma)(c.f.[1])

Let  $u$  and  $u_h$  be the solutions of the problems (1.1) and (1.5) respectively, then there exists  $C = \text{Const.} > 0$ , such that

$$\|u - u_h\|_0 \leq \|u - u_h\|_1 \sup_{g \in L^2(\Omega)} \left\{ \frac{1}{\|g\|_0} \inf_{\phi_h \in \tilde{V}_h} \|\phi_g - \phi_h\|_1 \right\}, \quad (1.6)$$

where, for any given  $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ ,  $\phi_g \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that

$$a(v, \phi_g) = (g, v) \quad v \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (1.7)$$

**Corollary 2.** ([2])

Assume that  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ , then given any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $h_0 = h_0(\epsilon) > 0$  such that for all  $0 < h \leq h_0(\epsilon)$ ,

$$\|u - u_h\|_0 \leq \epsilon \|u - u_h\|_1. \quad (1.8)$$

The proof can be completed from that  $\|\phi_g - (\phi_g)_h\|_1 \leq \epsilon \|g\|_0$  (c.f.[2]) and (1.6).

Note that the Corollary 2 shows that the  $L^2$ -norm error bound is one order of  $\epsilon$  higher than the  $H^1$ -norm error bound for the conforming finite element approximation to the second order problem in the case that the solution  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  only, but not in  $H^2(\Omega)$ .