Journal of Computational Mathematics, Vol.17, No.6, 1999, 639-644.

ORDER RESULTS FOR ALGEBRAICALLY STABLE MONO-IMPLICIT RUNGE-KUTTA METHODS^{*1)}

Ai-guo Xiao

Department of Mathematics, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan 411105, China
ICMSEC, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 10080, China)

Abstract

It is well known that mono-implicit Runge-Kutta methods have been applied in the efficient numerical solution of initial or boundary value problems of ordinary differential equations. Burrage(1994) has shown that the order of an s-stage monoimplicit Runge-Kutta method is at most s+1 and the stage order is at most 3. In this paper, it is shown that the order of an s-stage mono-implicit Runge-Kutta method being algebraically stable is at most min(\tilde{s} , 4), and the stage order together with the optimal B-convergence order is at most min(s, 2), where

$$\tilde{s} = \begin{cases} s+1 & if & s=1,2, \\ s & if & s \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

Key words: Ordinary differential equations, Mono-implicit Runge-Kutta methods, Order, Algebraical stability.

1. Introduction

Consider the initial value problem

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) = f(t, y(t)) & t \ge 0, \\ y(0) = y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases} f : [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N,$$
(1.1)

which is assumed to have a unique solution y(t) on the interval $[0, +\infty)$.

For solving (1.1), consider the s-stage implicit Runge-Kutta (IRK) method

$$\begin{cases} y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{\substack{i=1\\s}}^{s} b_i f(t_n + c_i h, Y_i) \\ Y_i = y_n + h \sum_{j=1}^{s} a_{ij} f(t_n + c_j h, Y_j), \quad 1 \le i \le s \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

and the s-stage mono-implicit Runge-Kutta (MIRK) method[2,5]

^{*} Received April 16, 1996.

¹⁾This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation Of China.

A.G. XIAO

$$\begin{cases} y_{n+1} = y_n + h \sum_{i=1}^{s} b_i f(t_n + c_i h, Y_i) \\ Y_i = (1 - \nu_i) y_n + \nu_i y_{n+1} + h \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_{ij} f(t_n + c_j h, Y_j), \quad 1 \le i \le s \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

where $h \rangle 0$ is the stepsize, b_i, c_i, ν_i, x_{ij} and a_{ij} are real constants, $b_i \neq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^{\circ} b_i = 1, c_i \neq c_j$ when $i \neq j$, Y_i and y_n approximate $y(t_n + c_i h)$ and $y(t_n)$ respectively, $t_n = nh$ $(n \ge 0)$. The methods (1.2) and (1.3) can be given in the tableau forms respectively:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} c & A \\ \hline & b^T \end{array}$$
(1.4)

and

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c} c & \nu & \mathbf{X} \\ \hline & b^T \end{array}$$
(1.5)

where $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_s)^T$, $b = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s)^T$, $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_s)^T$, $A = [a_{ij}]$ is an $s \times s$ matrix, $X = [x_{ij}]$ is an $s \times s$ matrix with $x_{ij} = 0$, when $i \leq j$. The method (1.5) is equivalent to the IRK method (1.4) with the coefficient matrix $A = X + \nu b^T$. The method (1.4) is said to be algebraically stable[4,7], if the matrixes $M = BA + A^T B - bb^T$ and B = diag(b) are nonnegative definite.

A number of interesting subclasses of the IRK methods have recently been identified and investigated in the references. These methods represent attempts to trade-off the higher accuracy of the IRK methods for methods which can be implemented more efficiently. These methods include singly-implicit Runge-kutta (SIRK) methods[1,6,7], diagonally implicit Runge-Kutta (DIRK) methods[1,6,7], and MIRK methods[2,5]. Burrage[5] has shown that the order of an s-stage MIRK method is at most s+1 and the stage order is at most 3. In this paper, it is shown that the order of an s-stage MIRK method being algebraically stable is at most min(\tilde{s} ,4) and the stage order together with the optimal B-convergence order is at most min(s,2), here and in the following sections,

$$\tilde{s} = \begin{cases} s+1 & if \qquad s=1,2, \\ s & if \qquad s \ge 3. \end{cases}$$

2. Main Results and Proofs

For the method (1.4) or (1.5), we introduce the simplifying conditions [1,7]:

$$\begin{array}{ll} B(p): & b^T c^{k-1} = \frac{1}{k}, & k = 1, 2, \cdots, p \\ C(p): & A c^{k-1} = \frac{c^k}{k}, & k = 1, 2, \cdots, p \\ D(p): & b^T C^{k-1} A = \frac{b^T - b^T C^k}{k}, & k = 1, 2, \cdots, p \end{array}$$

where $c^k = (c_1^k, c_2^k, \dots, c_s^k)^T$, $C^k = diag(c^k)$. max $\{p : B(p) \text{ and } C(p) \text{ hold at the same time}\}$ is said to be the stage order of the method (1.4). Since the MIRK method (1.5)

640