

A Note on the Stability of K -g-frames

XIANG ZHONG-QI

(College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Shangrao Normal University,
Shangrao, Jiangxi, 334001)

Communicated by Ji You-qing

Abstract: In this paper, we present a new stability theorem on the perturbation of K -g-frames by using operator theory methods. The result we obtained improves one corresponding conclusion of other authors.

Key words: g-frame; K -g-frame; frame operator; stability

2010 MR subject classification: 42C15; 42C40

Document code: A

Article ID: 1674-5647(2019)04-0345-09

DOI: 10.13447/j.1674-5647.2019.04.06

1 Introduction

A frame for a Hilbert space was discovered in 1950's by Duffin and Schaeffer^[1], which has made great contributions to various fields because of its nice properties, the reader can examine [2]–[8] for background and details of frames. G-frames, proposed by Sun^[9], generalize the notion of frames extensively, which possess some distinct properties though they share many similar properties with frames (see [10] and [11]).

A K -frame is an extension of a frame, which emerged in the work on atomic systems for operators due to Găvruta^[12], and the results involved show us that the properties of K -frames are quite different from those for frames owing to the linear bounded operator K (see also [13]–[16]).

The idea of Găvruta has been applied to the case of g-frames by Xiao *et al.*^[17] and thus providing us the concept of K -g-frames, which have already attracted many researchers' interest due to their potential flexibility (see [18]–[21] for example). In this paper we pay attention to the stability of K -g-frames, and the motivation derives from an observation on one stability result for K -g-frames, Theorem 4.1 in [21], recently obtained by Hua and Huang. In the proof the authors asserted that the frame operator of the involved K -g-frame is invertible on the whole space, which plays a key role in their proof to show the lower

Received date: July 26, 2018.

Foundation item: The NSF (11761057, 11561057) of China.

E-mail address: lxsy20110927@163.com (Xiang Z Q).

K -g-frame bound condition stated in the theorem. In reality, however, the invertibility of the frame operator of a K -g-frame is absent for the whole space, since a K -g-frame is not necessarily a g-frame (see Example 3.1 for details). The purpose of this paper is to provide an improvement to their result.

Throughout this paper, the notations \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} are reserved for two Hilbert spaces, and $\{\mathcal{K}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ is used to denote a sequence of closed subspaces of \mathcal{K} , where the index set \mathbb{J} is finite or countable. The family of all linear bounded operators from \mathcal{H} to \mathcal{K} is designated as $B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$, which is abbreviated to $B(\mathcal{H})$ if $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K}$. The notation $\mathcal{R}(\theta)$ designates the range of $\theta \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$.

Let $\ell^2(\{\mathcal{K}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}})$ be the Hilbert space defined by

$$\ell^2(\{\mathcal{K}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}) = \left\{ \{g_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}} : g_j \in \mathcal{K}_j, j \in \mathbb{J}, \text{ and } \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \|g_j\|^2 < \infty \right\},$$

where the inner product is given by

$$\langle \{f_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}, \{g_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \rangle = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \langle f_j, g_j \rangle.$$

For a sequence of linear bounded operators $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ from \mathcal{H} into \mathcal{K}_j , let \mathcal{H}^A be the set defined by

$$\mathcal{H}^A = \overline{\left\{ \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} A_j^* g_j \text{ for any finite } \mathbb{I} \subset \mathbb{J} \text{ and } g_j \in \mathcal{K}_j, j \in \mathbb{I} \right\}}.$$

2 Preliminaries

In this section we mainly collect some basic definitions and properties for K -g-frames.

Definition 2.1 Suppose $K \in B(\mathcal{H})$. One says that a family $\{A_j \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ is a K -g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{K}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ if there exist $0 < C \leq D < \infty$ such that

$$C\|K^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \|A_j f\|^2 \leq D\|f\|^2, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{2.1}$$

The constants C and D are called, respectively, the lower and upper K -g-frame bounds.

Remark 2.1 If K is equal to the identity operator on \mathcal{H} , $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{H}}$, then a K -g-frame turns to be a g-frame.

In general, if $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ satisfies the inequality to the right in (2.1), we say that $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ is a D -g-Bessel sequence for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{K}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$, associated with which there is a linear bounded operator, called the analysis operator of $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$, defined by

$$U_A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \ell^2(\{\mathcal{K}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}), \quad U_A f = \{A_j f\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}. \tag{2.2}$$

The adjoint operator

$$U_A^*: \ell^2(\{\mathcal{K}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

given by

$$U_{\Lambda}^* \{g_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} A_j^* g_j$$

is said to be the synthesis operator. A composition of U_{Λ}^* and U_{Λ} yields a positive and self-adjoint operator which we call the frame operator, given below

$$S_{\Lambda}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad S_{\Lambda} f = U_{\Lambda}^* U_{\Lambda} f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} A_j^* A_j f. \quad (2.3)$$

It is easy to check that S_{Λ} is invertible if and only if $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ is a g-frame.

The following result tells us that we can naturally get a K -g-frame from a g-frame.

Proposition 2.1 *Suppose $K \in B(\mathcal{H})$. Then every g-frame is a K -g-frame.*

Proof. Let $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ be a g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{K}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ with bounds C, D and the frame operator S_{Λ} . To prove that $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ is a K -g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{K}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$, it only needs to show the lower bound inequality. For any $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we see from (2.3) that

$$f = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} A_j^* A_j S_{\Lambda}^{-1} f$$

and thus,

$$Kf = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} A_j^* A_j S_{\Lambda}^{-1} Kf.$$

Now we compute that

$$\begin{aligned} \|K^* f\|^2 &= \sup_{\|g\|=1} |\langle K^* f, g \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sup_{\|g\|=1} |\langle f, Kg \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sup_{\|g\|=1} \left| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \langle A_j^* A_j S_{\Lambda}^{-1} Kg, f \rangle \right|^2 \\ &= \sup_{\|g\|=1} \left| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \langle A_j S_{\Lambda}^{-1} Kg, A_j f \rangle \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \|A_j f\|^2 \cdot \sup_{\|g\|=1} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \|A_j S_{\Lambda}^{-1} Kg\|^2 \\ &\leq D \|S_{\Lambda}^{-1} K\|^2 \cdot \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \|A_j f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$D^{-1} \|S_{\Lambda}^{-1} K\|^{-2} \|K^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \|A_j f\|^2,$$

as desired.

Remark 2.2 The converse of Proposition 2.1 is not true, please check Example 3.1.

Proposition 2.2^[22] *If $\Theta \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ has closed range, then there exists a unique operator $\Theta^\dagger \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H})$ such that $\Theta\Theta^\dagger\Theta = \Theta$.*

Lemma 2.1^[23] *Assume that $T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a linear operator, and there are constants $\lambda, \mu \in [0, 1)$ satisfying*

$$\|Tf - f\| \leq \lambda\|f\| + \mu\|Tf\|, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Then $T \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and

$$\frac{1-\lambda}{1+\mu}\|f\| \leq \|Tf\| \leq \frac{1+\lambda}{1-\mu}\|f\|, \quad \frac{1-\mu}{1+\lambda}\|f\| \leq \|T^{-1}f\| \leq \frac{1+\mu}{1-\lambda}\|f\|, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

3 The Main Result

The following result is presented in [21] as Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose that $K \in B(\mathcal{H})$ has closed range and $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ is a K -g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{K}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ with bounds C and D . Suppose that $\Gamma_j \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_j)$ for each $j \in \mathbb{J}$ and there exist constants $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu \geq 0$ such that $\max\left\{\lambda_1 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{C}}, \lambda_2\right\} < 1$ and*

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} (A_j^* A_j - \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j) f \right\| \leq \lambda_1 \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} A_j^* A_j f \right\| + \lambda_2 \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j f \right\| + \mu \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} \|A_j f\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for any finite $\mathbb{I} \subset \mathbb{J}$ and any $f \in \mathcal{H}$, then $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ is a K -g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{K}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ with bounds

$$\frac{C \left[1 - \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{C}} \right) \right]}{1 + \lambda_2}, \quad \frac{D \left(1 + \lambda_1 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{D}} \right)}{1 - \lambda_2}.$$

In the proof of the lower K -g-frame bound condition the authors of [21] asserted that the frame operator of the associated K -g-frame is invertible on \mathcal{H} , implying that a K -g-frame is necessarily a g-frame, which is not true, as shown in the following example.

Example 3.1 Let $\{e_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} and define

$$K: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad Kf = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \langle f, e_{2j} \rangle e_{2j}.$$

Clearly, K is well defined, linear bounded and self-adjoint. For $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, let $\mathcal{K}_j = \overline{\text{span}}\{e_j\}$ and define linear bounded operator $A_j: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_j$ as follows:

$$A_j f = \begin{cases} \langle f, e_j \rangle e_j & \text{if } j \text{ is even;} \\ \langle f, e_j/j \rangle e_j & \text{if } j \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

It is easily seen that the adjoint operator $A_j^*: \mathcal{K}_j \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is given by

$$A_j^* g_j = \begin{cases} \langle g_j, e_j \rangle e_j & \text{if } j \text{ is even;} \\ \langle g_j, e_j/j \rangle e_j & \text{if } j \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

For any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|K^*f\|^2 &= \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} |\langle f, e_{2j} \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \|A_j f\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \|A_{2j} f\|^2 + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \|A_{2j-1} f\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} |\langle f, e_{2j} \rangle|^2 + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{|\langle f, e_{2j-1} \rangle|^2}{(2j-1)^2} \\ &\leq \|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$ is a K -g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{K}_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$.

We next show that $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$ does not satisfy the lower bound inequality of a g-frame. Assume on the contrary that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$C\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \|A_j f\|^2, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Let $k \in \mathbf{N}$ be a positive integer which is greater than $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{C}} + \frac{1}{2}$. Noting that $(2k-1)e_{2k-1} \in \mathcal{K}_{2k-1}$, we have

$$e_{2k-1} = \left\langle (2k-1)e_{2k-1}, \frac{e_{2k-1}}{2k-1} \right\rangle e_{2k-1} = A_{2k-1}^*((2k-1)e_{2k-1}) \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Therefore,

$$C = C\|e_{2k-1}\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \|A_j e_{2k-1}\|^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbf{N}} \left| \left\langle e_{2k-1}, \frac{e_{2j-1}}{2j-1} \right\rangle \right|^2 = \frac{1}{(2k-1)^2} < C,$$

a contradiction.

Suppose that $K \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ is a K -g-frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{K}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ with bounds C , D and the frame operator S_A . From Example 3.1 we know that S_A is not an invertible operator on \mathcal{H} in general. We show, however, that it can be invertible on the subspace $\mathcal{R}(K)$, provided K has closed range. Indeed, since $\mathcal{R}(K)$ is closed, from Proposition 2.2 it follows that

$$KK^\dagger f = f, \quad f \in \mathcal{R}(K),$$

namely,

$$KK^\dagger|_{\mathcal{R}(K)} = \text{Id}_{\mathcal{R}(K)},$$

and $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{R}(K)}^* = (K^\dagger|_{\mathcal{R}(K)})^* K^*$ as a consequence. Thus

$$\|f\| = \|(K^\dagger|_{\mathcal{R}(K)})^* K^* f\| \leq \|K^\dagger\| \|K^* f\|, \quad f \in \mathcal{R}(K).$$

From this and taking into account that

$$\langle S_{\Lambda}f, f \rangle = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \|A_j f\|^2,$$

we obtain

$$D\|f\|^2 \geq \langle S_{\Lambda}f, f \rangle \geq C\|K^*f\|^2 \geq C\|K^{\dagger}\|^{-2}\|f\|^2, \quad f \in \mathcal{R}(K),$$

implying that $S_{\Lambda}: \mathcal{R}(K) \rightarrow S_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{R}(K))$ is homeomorphous. Hence

$$D^{-1}\|f\| \leq \|S_{\Lambda}^{-1}f\| \leq C^{-1}\|K^{\dagger}\|^2\|f\|, \quad f \in S_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{R}(K)).$$

Now we can improve Theorem 3.1 as follows.

Theorem 3.2 *Suppose that $K \in B(\mathcal{H})$ has closed range and $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ is a K - g -frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{K}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$. Let C, D and S_{Λ} be the bounds and the frame operator of $\{A_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$, respectively. Suppose that $\Gamma_j \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_j)$ for each $j \in \mathbb{J}$ and that $\mathcal{R}(K), \mathcal{H}^{\Gamma} \subset S_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{R}(K))$. If there exist constants $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu \geq 0$ such that $\max\left\{\lambda_1 + \frac{\mu\|K^{\dagger}\|}{\sqrt{C}}, \lambda_2\right\} < 1$ and*

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} (A_j^* A_j - \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j) f \right\| \leq \lambda_1 \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} A_j^* A_j f \right\| + \lambda_2 \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j f \right\| + \mu \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} \|A_j f\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for any finite $\mathbb{I} \subset \mathbb{J}$ and any $f \in \mathcal{H}$, then $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ is a K - g -frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{K}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ with bounds

$$\frac{C^2 \left[1 - \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{\mu\|K^{\dagger}\|}{\sqrt{C}} \right) \right]^2 (1 - \lambda_2)}{D(1 + \lambda_2)^2 \|K^{\dagger}\|^4 \|K\|^2 \left(1 + \lambda_1 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{D}} \right)}, \quad \frac{D \left(1 + \lambda_1 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{D}} \right)}{1 - \lambda_2}.$$

Proof. For any finite $\mathbb{I} \subset \mathbb{J}$ and any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j f \right\| &\leq \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} (A_j^* A_j - \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j) f \right\| + \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} A_j^* A_j f \right\| \\ &\leq (1 + \lambda_1) \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} A_j^* A_j f \right\| + \lambda_2 \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j f \right\| + \mu \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} \|A_j f\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j f \right\| &\leq \frac{1 + \lambda_1}{1 - \lambda_2} \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} A_j^* A_j f \right\| + \frac{\mu}{1 - \lambda_2} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} \|A_j f\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + \lambda_1)\sqrt{D}}{1 - \lambda_2} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} \|A_j f\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\mu}{1 - \lambda_2} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} \|A_j f\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{(1 + \lambda_1)\sqrt{D} + \mu}{1 - \lambda_2} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} \|A_j f\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + \lambda_1)D + \mu\sqrt{D}}{1 - \lambda_2} \|f\|, \end{aligned}$$

where in the second inequality we apply the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} A_j^* A_j f \right\| &= \sup_{\|h\|=1} \left| \left\langle \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} A_j^* A_j f, h \right\rangle \right| \\ &= \sup_{\|h\|=1} \left| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} \langle A_j f, A_j h \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} \|A_j f\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sup_{\|h\|=1} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} \|A_j h\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{D} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{I}} \|A_j f\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the series $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j f$ converges unconditionally in \mathcal{H} . Define

$$T: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad Tf = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j f.$$

Then T is bounded with $\|T\| \leq \frac{D\left(1 + \lambda_1 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{D}}\right)}{1 - \lambda_2}$. Thus for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \|\Gamma_j f\|^2 = \langle Tf, f \rangle \leq \|T\| \|f\|^2 \leq \frac{D\left(1 + \lambda_1 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{D}}\right)}{1 - \lambda_2} \|f\|^2,$$

meaning that $\{\Gamma_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$ is a $\frac{D\left(1 + \lambda_1 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{D}}\right)}{1 - \lambda_2}$ -g-Bessel sequence for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{K}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{J}}$. Note that for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we also have

$$\left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} (A_j^* A_j - \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j) f \right\| \leq \lambda_1 \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} A_j^* A_j f \right\| + \lambda_2 \left\| \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j f \right\| + \mu \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \|A_j f\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then for each $g \in S_\Lambda(\mathcal{R}(K))$, taking $S_\Lambda^{-1}g$ instead of f in above equation we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|g - TS_\Lambda^{-1}g\| &\leq \lambda_1 \|g\| + \lambda_2 \|TS_\Lambda^{-1}g\| + \mu \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \|A_j S_\Lambda^{-1}g\|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{\mu \|K^\dagger\|}{\sqrt{C}} \right) \|g\| + \lambda_2 \|TS_\Lambda^{-1}g\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Lemma 2.1,

$$L = TS_\Lambda^{-1}: S_\Lambda(\mathcal{R}(K)) \rightarrow S_\Lambda(\mathcal{R}(K))$$

is invertible with

$$\|L^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1 + \lambda_2}{1 - \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{\mu \|K^\dagger\|}{\sqrt{C}} \right)}.$$

Now for any $g \in S_A(\mathcal{R}(K))$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|^2 &= \langle LL^{-1}g, g \rangle \\ &\leq \|L^{-1}\| \|g\| \|L^*g\| \\ &\leq \frac{1 + \lambda_2}{1 - \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{\mu\|K^\dagger\|}{\sqrt{C}}\right)} \|S_A^{-1}\| \|g\| \|Tg\| \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + \lambda_2)\|K^\dagger\|^2}{C \left[1 - \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{\mu\|K^\dagger\|}{\sqrt{C}}\right)\right]} \frac{\sqrt{(1 + \lambda_1)D + \mu\sqrt{D}}}{\sqrt{1 - \lambda_2}} \|g\| \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \|\Gamma_j g\|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \|\Gamma_j g\|^2 &\geq \frac{C^2 \left[1 - \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{\mu\|K^\dagger\|}{\sqrt{C}}\right)\right]^2 (1 - \lambda_2)}{D(1 + \lambda_2)^2 \|K^\dagger\|^4 \left(1 + \lambda_1 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{D}}\right)} \|g\|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{C^2 \left[1 - \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{\mu\|K^\dagger\|}{\sqrt{C}}\right)\right]^2 (1 - \lambda_2)}{D(1 + \lambda_2)^2 \|K^\dagger\|^4 \|K\|^2 \left(1 + \lambda_1 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{D}}\right)} \|K^*g\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since every $f \in \mathcal{H}$ has a composition as $f = g + h$, where $g \in S_A(\mathcal{R}(K))$ and $h \in (S_A(\mathcal{R}(K)))^\perp$, and $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j f \in S_A(\mathcal{R}(K))$, it follows that

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \|\Gamma_j f\|^2 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} (\|\Gamma_j g\|^2 + \|\Gamma_j h\|^2) + 2\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left\langle \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \Gamma_j^* \Gamma_j g, h \right\rangle \right\} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} (\|\Gamma_j g\|^2 + \|\Gamma_j h\|^2).$$

Noting also that $h \in (S_A(\mathcal{R}(K)))^\perp \subset (\mathcal{R}(K))^\perp$, we have

$$K^*f = K^*(g + h) = K^*g.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{C^2 \left[1 - \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{\mu\|K^\dagger\|}{\sqrt{C}}\right)\right]^2 (1 - \lambda_2)}{D(1 + \lambda_2)^2 \|K^\dagger\|^4 \|K\|^2 \left(1 + \lambda_1 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{D}}\right)} \|K^*f\|^2 \\ &= \frac{C^2 \left[1 - \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{\mu\|K^\dagger\|}{\sqrt{C}}\right)\right]^2 (1 - \lambda_2)}{D(1 + \lambda_2)^2 \|K^\dagger\|^4 \|K\|^2 \left(1 + \lambda_1 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{D}}\right)} \|K^*g\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \|\Gamma_j g\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \|\Gamma_j f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Altogether we know that

$$\frac{C^2 \left[1 - \left(\lambda_1 + \frac{\mu \|K^\dagger\|}{\sqrt{C}} \right) \right]^2 (1 - \lambda_2)}{D(1 + \lambda_2)^2 \|K^\dagger\|^4 \|K\|^2 \left(1 + \lambda_1 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{D}} \right)} \|K^* f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{J}} \|T_j f\|^2 \leq \frac{D \left(1 + \lambda_1 + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{D}} \right)}{1 - \lambda_2} \|f\|^2$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$, and the proof is finished.

References

- [1] Duffin R J, Schaeffer A C. A class of nonharmonic Fourier series. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1952, **72**(2): 341–366.
- [2] Daubechies I, Grossmann A, Meyer Y. Painless nonorthogonal expansions. *J. Math. Phys.*, 1986, **27**(5): 1271–1283.
- [3] Sun W C. Asymptotic properties of Gabor frame operators as sampling density tends to infinity. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 2010, **258**(3): 913–932.
- [4] Han D G, Li P T, Tang W S. Frames and their associated H_F^p -subspaces. *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 2011, **34**(2): 185–200.
- [5] Han D G, Sun W C. Reconstruction of signals from frame coefficients with erasures at unknown locations. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 2014, **60**(7): 4013–4025.
- [6] Bemrose T, Casazza P G, Gröchenig K, Lammers M C, Lynch R G. Weaving frames. *Oper. Matrices*, 2016, **10**(4): 1093–1116.
- [7] Christensen O, Hasannasab M. Operator representations of frames: boundedness, duality, and stability. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 2017, **88**(4): 483–499.
- [8] Christensen O, Hasannasab M, Rashidi E. Dynamical sampling and frame representations with bounded operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2018, **463**(2): 634–644.
- [9] Sun W C. G-frames and g-Riesz bases. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2006, **322**(1): 437–452.
- [10] Sun W C. Stability of g-frames. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2007, **326**(2): 858–868.
- [11] Li J Z, Zhu Y C. Exact g-frames in Hilbert spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2011, **374**(1): 201–209.
- [12] Găvruta L. Frames for operators. *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 2012, **32**(1): 139–144.
- [13] Xiao X C, Zhu Y C, Găvruta L. Some properties of K -frames in Hilbert spaces. *Results Math.*, 2013, **63**(3-4): 1243–1255.
- [14] Guo X X. Canonical dual K -Bessel sequences and dual K -Bessel generators for unitary systems of Hilbert spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 2016, **444**(1): 598–609.
- [15] Xiang Z Q, Li Y M. Frame sequences and dual frames for operators. *Sci. Asia*, 2016, **42**(3): 222–230.
- [16] Arabyani Neyshaburi F, Arefijamaal A. Some constructions of K -frames and their duals. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 2017, **47**(6): 1749–1764.
- [17] Xiao X C, Zhu Y C, Shu Z B, Ding M L. G-frames with bounded linear operators. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 2015, **45**(2): 675–693.
- [18] Asgari M S, Rahimi H. Generalized frames for operators in Hilbert spaces. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum. Probab. Relat. Top.*, 2014, **17**(2): 1450013-1–1450013-20.
- [19] Zhou Y, Zhu Y C. K -g-frames and dual g-frames for closed subspaces (in Chinese). *Acta Math. Sinica*, 2013, **56**(5): 799–806.
- [20] Zhou Y, Zhu Y C. Characterizations of K -g-frames in Hilbert spaces (in Chinese). *Acta Math. Sinica*, 2014, **57**(5): 1031–1040.
- [21] Hua D L, Huang Y D. K -g-frames and stability of K -g-frames. *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, 2016, **53**(6): 1331–1345.
- [22] Christensen O. Frames and pseudo-inverses. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 1995, **195**(2): 401–414.
- [23] Casazza P G, Christensen O. Perturbation of operators and applications to frame theory. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 1997, **3**(5): 543–557.