On Meromorphic Solutions of Nonlinear Complex Differential Equations

Su Xian-feng^{1,2} and Zhang Qing-cai^{1,*}

School of Information, Renmin University of China, Beijing, 100086)
 School of Information, Huaibei Normal University, Huaibei, Anhui, 235000)

Communicated by Shi Shao-yun

Abstract: Applying the Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic function, we investigate the non-admissible meromorphic solutions of nonlinear complex algebraic differential equation and gain a general result. Meanwhile, we prove that the meromorphic solutions of some types of the systems of nonlinear complex differential equations are non-admissible. Moreover, the form of the systems of equations with admissible solutions is discussed.

Key words: non-admissible solution, complex differential equation, value distribution, meromorphic function

2010 MR subject classification: 30D35, 30D30
Document code: A
Article ID: 1674-5647(2018)02-0149-12
DOI: 10.13447/j.1674-5647.2018.02.07

1 Introduction and Main Results

Let w(z) be meromorphic in the complex plane, and we use the standard notations of Nevanlinna theory of meromorphic function (see [1]–[2]), which are also introduced as follows for convenient to read:

$$\begin{split} N(r,w) &:= \int_0^r \frac{n(r,w) - n(0,w)}{t} dt + n(0,w) \log r, \qquad \text{(Counting function)} \\ m(r,w) &:= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |w(r e^{i\varphi})| d\varphi, \qquad \qquad \text{(Proximity function)} \\ T(r,w) &:= m(r,w) + N(r,w), \qquad \qquad \text{(Characteristic function)} \end{split}$$

Received date: April 5, 2017.

Foundation item: The NSF (11171013) of China, the NSF (KJ2015A323) of the Education Department of Anhui Province and the Outstanding Young Talents Program (gxyq2017153) of the Education Department of Anhui Province.

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: suxf2006@ruc.edu.cn (Su X F), zhangqcrd@163.com (Zhang Q C).

 $\bar{N}(r,w) := \int_0^r \frac{\bar{n}(r,w) - \bar{n}(0,w)}{t} dt + \bar{n}(0,w) \log r, \qquad (\text{Reduced counting function})$ ere n(r,w) counts the number of the pole of w(z) in $|z| \le r$, each pole according to

where n(r, w) counts the number of the pole of w(z) in $|z| \leq r$, each pole according to its multiplicity, and $\bar{n}(r, w)$ counts the number of distinct poles of w(z) in $|z| \leq r$. We call an error term and denote by S(r, w) any quantity satisfying

$$S(r, w) := o\{T(r, w)\}$$

as $r \to \infty$, possibly outside a set of r of finite linear measure.

Some authors investigated the existence of meromorphic solutions of algebraic differential equations and obtained many meaningful results (see [3]–[11]). Gackstatter and Laine^[3] considered the following differential equation

$$(w')^n = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j(z)w^j \qquad (m \ge 1).$$
 (1.1)

They gave the conjecture that: the differential equation (1.1) does not possess any admissible solutions if $1 \le m \le n-1$. For simplicity, we denote $S(r) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} T(r, a_j)$. w is called an admissible solution of (1.1) if S(r) = o(T(r, w)).

He and Laine^[6] proved the conjecture in [3]. While they obtained the following theorem: **Theorem A**^[6] The differential equation (1.1) does not possess any admissible solutions for $1 \le m \le n-1$.

Gao^[7] investigated the following high-order differential equation:

$$\left(\frac{\Omega(z,w)}{P(z,w)}\right)^m = a_p(z)w^p + \sum_{i=0}^s a_i(z)w^i, \qquad a_p \neq 0, \ a_s \neq 0, \ s < p, \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$\Omega(z,w) = \sum_{(k)} c_k(z)(w)^{k_0} (w')^{k_1} (w'')^{k_2} \cdots (w^{(n)})^{k_n}$$

and

$$P(z,w) = (w)^{i_0} (w')^{i_1} (w'')^{i_2} \cdots (w^{(n)})^{i_n}.$$

He obtained the result as below:

Theorem B^[7] Let w(z) be a meromorphic solution of (1.2). If

$$\bar{N}(r,w) + \bar{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{w}\right) = S(r,w), \qquad p - s - \frac{p}{m} > 0,$$

then w(z) is a non-admissible solution.

Remark 1.1 From the proof of Theorem B, we know that $p - s - \frac{p}{m} > 0$, if p < m. Therefore, the condition $p \ge m$ can be removed (see [7]).

Motivated by [7], we reinvestigate meromorphic solution of (1.2) when

$$\bar{N}(r, w) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{w}\right) \neq S(r, w).$$

More precisely, one of our results can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.1 Let w(z) be a meromorphic solution of (1.2). If $4\bar{N}(r, w) + \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{w}\right) = (\lambda + o(1))T(r, w), \quad p - s - \frac{p}{m} > \lambda, \qquad \lambda \ge 0,$

then w(z) is a non-admissible solution.