Journal of Computational Mathematics Vol.33, No.1, 2015, 17-32.

http://www.global-sci.org/jcm doi:10.4208/jcm.1406-m4443

FINITE DIFFERENCE METHODS FOR THE HEAT EQUATION WITH A NONLOCAL BOUNDARY CONDITION*

V. Thomée

Mathematical Sciences, Chalmers University of Technology and University of Gothenburg, SE-412 96 Göteborg, Sweden E-mail: thomee@chalmers.se A.S. Vasudeva Murthy TIFR Centre for Appl. Math, Yelahanka New Town, Bangalore, India

E-mail: vasu@math.tifrbng.res.in

Abstract

We consider the numerical solution by finite difference methods of the heat equation in one space dimension, with a nonlocal integral boundary condition, resulting from the truncation to a finite interval of the problem on a semi-infinite interval. We first analyze the forward Euler method, and then the θ -method for $0 < \theta \leq 1$, in both cases in maximum-norm, showing $O(h^2 + k)$ error bounds, where h is the mesh-width and k the time step. We then give an alternative analysis for the case $\theta = 1/2$, the Crank-Nicolson method, using energy arguments, yielding a $O(h^2 + k^{3/2})$ error bound. Special attention is given the approximation of the boundary integral operator. Our results are illustrated by numerical examples.

Mathematics subject classification: 65M06, 65M12, 65M15 Key words: Heat equation, Artificial boundary conditions, unbounded domains, product quadrature.

1. Introduction

We are concerned with the numerical solution of the parabolic problem on a semi-infinite interval,

|--|

$$u(0,t) = b(t),$$
 for $t > 0,$ (1.1b)
 $u(x,0) = v(x),$ for $x \ge 0,$ (1.1c)

(1.1c)

$$u \to 0,$$
 for $x \to +\infty,$ (1.1d)

where f(x,t) and v(x) vanish outside a finite interval in x, which in the sequel we normalize to be [0,1). To be able to use finite difference or finite element methods for this problem, it is useful to truncate it to this finite spatial interval. This necessitates setting up a boundary condition at the right hand endpoint of the interval, x = 1, usually referred to as an artificial boundary condition (*abc*). Han and Huang [3] have recently proposed such an *abc* for (1.1)

Received October 17, 2013 / Revised version received May 19, 2014 / Accepted June 26, 2014 / Published online December 1, 2014 /

resulting in the initial-boundary value problem

$$u_t = u_{xx} + f(x, t),$$
 for $x \in (0, 1), \quad t > 0$ (1.2a)

$$u(0,t) = b(t),$$
 for $t > 0,$ (1.2b)

$$u_x(1,t) + Gu(1,t) = g(t), \quad \text{for } t > 0,$$
(1.2c)

$$u(x,0) = v(x),$$
 for $x \in (0,1),$ (1.2d)

with g(t) = 0, where Gu may be thought of as a fractional derivative of order $\frac{1}{2}$ of u, cf. [8], or

$$Gu(t) = Ju_t(t), \quad \text{where } Jw(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \frac{w(s)}{\sqrt{t-s}} ds.$$
(1.3)

The function g(t) will be included below for the purpose of our analysis.

To derive this *abc* at x = 1, we set $b_1(t) = u(1, t)$, with u the solution of (1.1), and note that u also solves

$$u_t = u_{xx},$$
 for $x \ge 1$, $t > 0$,
 $u(1,t) = b_1(t),$ for $t > 0$, and $u(x,0) = 0$, for $x \ge 1$.

Using Laplace transformation one shows that the solution of this problem is

$$u(x,t) = \frac{x-1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-3/2} b_1(s) e^{-(x-1)^2/(4(t-s))} \, ds, \quad \text{for } x > 1, \ t > 0.$$

From this one finds, after some calculation, that

$$u_x(1,t) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} b_1'(s) \, ds = -Ju_t(1,t), \quad \text{for } t > 0,$$

and hence that the boundary condition at x = 1 in (1.2) holds. Although [3] does not conatin any error analysis, the authors demonstrated the effectiveness of this *abc* by numerical computation. Recently Wu and Sun [7] have analyzed this *abc* for a slightly more complicated difference scheme than the Crank-Nicolson one, and Zheng [8] employs the same condition for the time discretized heat equation using the Z transform. For a technique that does not truncate the domain, see Li and Greengard [4]. Tsynkov [6] contains a survey of numerical solution on infinite domains.

Our purpose here is to analyze the solution of the truncated problem (1.2) by finite differences, using the θ -method, for $0 \le \theta \le 1$. For $\theta = 0$ this reduces to the explicit forward Euler method, and for $\theta > 0$ the method is implicit, with the backward Euler method corresponding to $\theta = 1$, and the Crank-Nicolson method to $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$.

We use the spatial grid $x_m = mh$, $m = 0, 1, \ldots, M+1$, with h = 1/M', where M is a positive integer and $M' = M + \frac{1}{2}$, thus also using the grid point $x_{M+1} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}h$ to the right of the right hand boundary, but with no gridpoint at x = 1. The step size in time is denoted by k, with the corresponding time levels $t_n = nk$. We denote by U_m^n the difference approximation of $u(x_m, t_n)$ and introduce the forward and backward difference quotients in space and time by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x U_m^n &= \frac{U_{m+1}^n - U_m^n}{h}, \qquad \bar{\partial}_x U_m^n = \frac{U_m^n - U_{m-1}^n}{h}, \\ \partial_t U_m^n &= \frac{U_m^{n+1} - U_m^n}{k}, \qquad \bar{\partial}_t U_m^n = \frac{U_m^n - U_m^{n-1}}{k}. \end{aligned}$$