

A POSTERIORI ERROR ESTIMATES FOR FINITE ELEMENT APPROXIMATIONS OF THE CAHN-HILLIARD EQUATION AND THE HELE-SHAW FLOW*

Xiaobing Feng

Department of Mathematics, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, USA

Email: xfeng@math.utk.edu

Haijun Wu

Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

Email: hjw@nju.edu.cn

Abstract

This paper develops a posteriori error estimates of residual type for conforming and mixed finite element approximations of the fourth order Cahn-Hilliard equation $u_t + \Delta(\varepsilon\Delta u - \varepsilon^{-1}f(u)) = 0$. It is shown that the *a posteriori* error bounds depends on ε^{-1} only in some low polynomial order, instead of exponential order. Using these a posteriori error estimates, we construct an adaptive algorithm for computing the solution of the Cahn-Hilliard equation and its sharp interface limit, the Hele-Shaw flow. Numerical experiments are presented to show the robustness and effectiveness of the new error estimators and the proposed adaptive algorithm.

Mathematics subject classification: 65M60, 65M12, 65M15, 53A10.

Key words: Cahn-Hilliard equation, Hele-Shaw flow, Phase transition, Conforming elements, Mixed finite element methods, A posteriori error estimates, Adaptivity.

1. Introduction

In this paper we derive a posteriori error estimates and develop an adaptive algorithm based on the error estimates for conforming and mixed finite element approximations of the following Cahn-Hilliard equation and its sharp interface limit known as the Hele-Shaw flow [2, 35]

$$u_t + \Delta\left(\varepsilon\Delta u - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}f(u)\right) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_T := \Omega \times (0, T), \quad (1.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial}{\partial n}\left(\varepsilon\Delta u - \frac{1}{\varepsilon}f(u)\right) = 0 \quad \text{in } \partial\Omega_T := \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \quad (1.2)$$

$$u = u_0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times \{0\}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$ ($N = 2, 3$) is a bounded domain with C^2 boundary $\partial\Omega$ or a convex polygonal domain, $T > 0$ is a fixed constant, and f is the derivative of a smooth double well potential taking its global minimum value 0 at $u = \pm 1$. In this paper we will consider the following well-known quartic potential:

$$f(u) := F'(u) \quad \text{and} \quad F(u) = \frac{1}{4}(u^2 - 1)^2.$$

For the notation brevity, we shall suppress the super-index ε on u^ε throughout this paper except in Section 5.

* Received August 13, 2007 / Revised version received June 2, 2008 / Accepted June 6, 2008 /

The Eq.(1.1) was originally introduced by Cahn and Hilliard [11] to describe the complicated phase separation and coarsening phenomena in a melted alloy that is quenched to a temperature at which only two different concentration phases can exist stably. The Cahn-Hilliard equation has been widely accepted as a good (conservative) model to describe the phase separation and coarsening phenomena in a melted alloy. The function u represents the concentration of one of the two metallic components of the alloy. The parameter ε is an “interaction length”, which is small compared to the characteristic dimensions on the laboratory scale. The Cahn-Hilliard equation (1.1) is a special case of a more complicated phase field model for solidification of a pure material [10, 27, 31]. For the physical background, derivation, and discussion of the Cahn-Hilliard equation and related equations, we refer to [2, 4, 7, 11, 13, 20, 33, 34] and the references therein. It should be noted that the Cahn-Hilliard equation (1.1) can also be regarded as the H^{-1} -gradient flow for the energy functional [26]

$$\mathcal{J}_\varepsilon(u) := \int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} F(u) \right] dx. \quad (1.4)$$

In addition to its application in phase transition, the Cahn-Hilliard equation (1.1) has also been extensively studied in the past due to its connection to the following free boundary problem, known as the Hele-Shaw problem and the Mullins-Sekerka problem

$$\Delta w = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \setminus \Gamma_t, t \in [0, T], \quad (1.5)$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, t \in [0, T], \quad (1.6)$$

$$w = \sigma\kappa \quad \text{on } \Gamma_t, t \in [0, T], \quad (1.7)$$

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial n} \right]_{\Gamma_t} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_t, t \in [0, T], \quad (1.8)$$

$$\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_{00} \quad \text{when } t = 0. \quad (1.9)$$

Here

$$\sigma = \int_{-1}^1 \sqrt{\frac{F(s)}{2}} ds.$$

κ and V are, respectively, the mean curvature and the normal velocity of the interface Γ_t , n is the unit outward normal to either $\partial\Omega$ or Γ_t ,

$$\left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial n} \right]_{\Gamma_t} := \frac{\partial w^+}{\partial n} - \frac{\partial w^-}{\partial n},$$

and w^+ and w^- are respectively the restriction of w in Ω_t^+ and Ω_t^- , the exterior and interior of Γ_t in Ω .

Under certain assumption on the initial datum u_0 , it was first formally proved by Pego [35] that, as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$, the function

$$w^\varepsilon := -\varepsilon \Delta u^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{-1} f(u^\varepsilon),$$

known as the chemical potential, tends to w , which, together with a free boundary $\Gamma := \cup_{0 \leq t \leq T} (\Gamma_t \times \{t\})$ solves (1.5)-(1.9). Also $u^\varepsilon \rightarrow \pm 1$ in Ω_t^\pm for all $t \in [0, T]$, as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$. The rigorous justification of this limit was carried out by Alikakos, Bates and Chen in [2] under the assumption that the above Hele-Shaw (Mullins-Sekerka) problem has a classical solution. Later, Chen [13] formulated a weak solution to the Hele-Shaw (Mullins-Sekerka) problem and showed, using an energy method, that the solution of (1.1)-(1.3) approaches, as $\varepsilon \searrow 0$, a weak solution of the Hele-Shaw (Mullins-Sekerka) problem. One of the consequences of the connection