Modulus-based Synchronous Multisplitting Iteration Methods for an Implicit Complementarity Problem

Chen-Liang Li* and Jun-Tao Hong

School of Mathematics and Computing Science, Guangxi Colleges and Universities Key Laboratory of Data Analysis and Computation, Guilin and University of Electronic Technology, Guilin, Guangxi, China, 541004

Received 26 December 2015; Accepted (in revised version) 22 February 2017.

Abstract. We construct modulus-based synchronous multisplitting iteration methods to solve a large implicit complementarity problem on parallel multiprocessor systems, and prove their convergence. Numerical results confirm our theoretical analysis and show that these new methods are efficient.

AMS subject classifications: 65Y05, 65H10, 65N55

Key words: Implicit complementarity problem, synchronous, iterative method, modulus-based multisplitting.

1. Introduction

Implicit complementarity problems arise in a variety of applications in economics and engineering such as the Nash equilibrium point of a bimatrix game, contact problems, and the free boundary problem for journal bearings — cf. Ref. [9,13,24] and references therein. The modulus method is a classical iteration procedure to solve linear complementarity problems [12, 18, 26], Hadjidimos & Tzoumas [15, 16] proposed extrapolated modulus algorithms, and Bai [3] presented the modulus-based matrix splitting iteration method.

Given the computational requirements of modern high-speed multiprocessor environments, multisplitting iterative methods are powerful tools for large sparse linear complementarity problems [1, 2, 4–7, 11, 19, 23, 25]. Li & Zeng [20, 21] considered several synchronous and asynchronous multisplitting iteration schemes for solving a class of nonlinear complementarity problem when the system matrix is an *H*-matrix. Recently, via an equivalent reformulation of the linear complementarity problem as a system of fixed-point equations, Bai & Zhang [7] constructed modulus-based synchronous multisplitting (MSM) iteration methods that are suitable for implementation on multiprocessor systems. As one

^{*}Corresponding author. *Email address:* chenliang_li@hotmail.com (C.-L. Li)

http://www.global-sci.org/eajam

only needs to solve subsystems of linear equations at each iteration step in these modulusbased synchronous multisplitting (MSM) iteration methods, they are better than the general multisplitting iteration methods since they produce linear complementarity subproblems [8, 10, 15, 22, 27–32]. We extend these methods to solve an implicit complementarity problem, noting that it includes a corresponding linear complementarity problem.

Consider the following implicit complementarity problem that we denote as ICP(q, M):

Find a pair of real vectors w and $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$u - m(u) \ge 0, \quad w := Mu + q \ge 0, \quad (u - m(u))^T (Mu + q) = 0$$
 (1.1)

where $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a given sparse matrix and $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is a real vector, and $m(\cdot)$ is an invertible mapping from \mathbb{R}^n into itself.

In this article, we extend the MSM iteration methods to solve the ICP(q, M). In Section 2, we present some necessary notation, definitions and lemmas. In Section 3, our MSM methods for solving the ICP(q, M) are established. We prove the convergence of the MSM iteration methods when the system matrix is an H_+ -matrix in Section 4. Numerical results presented in Section 5 show that the MSM iteration methods are computationally more efficient than modulus-based splitting iteration methods.

2. Preliminaries

Suppose that matrices $A = (a_{ij})$, $B = (b_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. We shall write $A \ge B$ (A > B) if $m_{ij} \ge n_{ij}$ $(m_{ij} > n_{ij})$ holds for all $1 \le i \le n$, $1 \le j \le n$. If *O* is a null matrix and $A \ge O$, *A* is called a nonnegative matrix. and |A| denotes the nonnegative matrix with entries $|a_{ij}|$. If $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a real $n \times n$ matrix, its comparison matrix is $\langle A \rangle = (\langle a_{ij} \rangle) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with

$$\langle a \rangle_{ij} = \begin{cases} |a_{ij}|, & i = j \\ -|a_{ij}|, & i \neq j \end{cases}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

The matrix *M* is called an *M*-matrix if its off-diagonal entries are all non-positive and $M^{-1} \ge O$; *M* is called an *H*-matrix if its comparison matrix $\langle M \rangle$ is an *M*-matrix; an *H*-matrix *M* is called an H^+ -matrix if it has a positive diagonal matrix; and if *M* is an *M*-matrix and Ω is a positive diagonal matrix, then $M \le B \le \Omega$ implies that *B* is an *M*-matrix. If *F* is a non-singular matrixm then M = F - G is called a splitting of the matrix; and if $\langle M \rangle = \langle F \rangle - |G|$, then M = F - G is called an *H*-compatible splitting.

Lemma 2.1 ([14]). Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an H - matrix, D = diag(A) and B = D - A. Then

- (1) matrix A is non-singular;
- (2) $|A^{-1}| \leq \langle A \rangle^{-1}$; and
- (3) |D| is non-singular and $\rho(|D|^{-1} \cdot |B|) < 1$.

Lemma 2.2 ([17]). Let M = F - G be a splitting of the matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, r a positive constant, and Ω a positive diagonal matrix. For the problem (1.1), we then have the following:

364